1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS		
2	HOUSTON DIVISION		
3			) CASE NO: 22-60043-cml
4	FREE SPEECH SYSTEMS, LLC	,	) Houston, Texas
5	Debtor.		Tuesday, September 13, 2022
6			) 1:03 p.m 1:49 p.m.
7			)
8	TRIAL		
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTOPHER M. LOPEZ UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE		
10	UNITED ST	ATES E	SANKRUPTCY JUDGE
11	APPEARANCES:		
12	For Free Speech Systems,		
13		700 M	on & Lee LLP ilam Street, Suite 1300
14			on, TX 77002
15		Law O	ND WILLIAM BATTAGLIA ffices of Ray Battaglia, PLLC
16			anburg Circle ntonio, TX 78218
17	For Veronique De La		
18	Rosa, et al.:	1001	ell Hetherington, LLP Fannin, Suite 2700
19			on, TX 77002
20		Chamb	D B. MARTIN erlain, Hrdlicka, White,
21		1200	ams & Aughtry P.C. Smith Street, Suite 1400
22			on, TX 77002
23	For David Wheeler, et al.:	Cain	E. CHAPPLE & Skarnulis PLLC
24			olorado Street, Suite 2850 n, TX 78701
25			

1	For the U.S. Trustee:	HA MINH NGUYEN	
2		Office of the United States Trustee 515 Rusk Street, Suite 3516 Houston, TX 77002	
3	For PQPR:	STEPHEN LEMMON	
4		Streusand Landon Ozburn and Lemmon 1801 S Mopac Expressway, Suite 320	
5		Austin, TX 78746	
6	For the SubChapter V	MELISSA ANNE HASELDEN	
7	Trustee:	Haselden Farrow, PLLC Pennzoil Place	
8		700 Milam, Suite 1300 Houston, TX 77002	
9	Court Reporter:	ZILDE MARTINEZ	
10	Courtroom Deputy:	ZILDE MARTINEZ	
11	Transcribed by:	Veritext Legal Solutions	
12		330 Old Country Road, Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501	
13		Tel: 800-727-6396	
14			
15	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service.		
16	rranscript produced by t	anscription service.	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
۷ ک			

```
1
         HOUSTON, TEXAS; TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2022; 1:03 PM
 2
                            (Call to Order)
 3
               CLERK: All rise.
 4
               MAN 1: I was actually -- my door was shut because
 5
     I was in the restroom when they came by. I saw them walk
 6
     past --
 7
               THE COURT: This is Judge Lopez.
 8
               MAN 1: (indiscernible).
 9
               THE COURT: Might want to put your phone on mute.
10
               WOMAN 1: -- like it scared me because we came
     around the corner (indiscernible) and like --
11
12
               MAN 1: Yeah, it --
13
               WOMAN 1: You had the door shut.
14
               THE COURT: Folks, this is Judge Lopez. I can
15
     hear everything. Yes. I'll do it.
16
               This is Judge Lopez, folks. Good afternoon. It
17
     is now 1:03. I'm going to call Free Speech here on
18
     September 13th, one o'clock docket. Something just got
     filed. My understanding is we're going to take up cash
19
20
     collateral and then we will also take up -- talk about
21
     emergency motion to compel. So why don't I take
22
     appearances. Why don't I start in the courtroom.
23
               MR. MOSHENBERG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Avi
24
     Moshenberg and Jarrod Martin here on behalf of the Texas
25
     plaintiffs, Your Honor.
```

- 1 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: Jarrod Martin has a hearing at
- 3 1:30, so he may be stepping out in regard to that matter.
- 4 And then just so the Court understands, I'm going to be
- 5 handling the motion to compel issue and Jarrod Martin is
- 6 spearheading the discussions with the other side about cash
- 7 collateral, so let him speak to those issues.
- 8 THE COURT: Why don't we take -- well, we'll see
- 9 where this goes. Okay, thank you.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.
- MR. NGUYEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Ha
- 12 Nguyen for the U.S. Trustee. I also have Millie Sall from
- 13 the U.S. Trustee's office here with me.
- 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon.
- MR. LEMMON: Your Honor, Steve Lemmon on behalf of
- 16 POPR.
- 17 THE COURT: Good afternoon.
- 18 MR. SHANNON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. RJ
- 19 Shannon on behalf of the Debtor, Free Speech Systems LLC.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.
- MR. SHANNON: And believe on the telephone or on
- 22 the -- electronically Ray Battaglia may be on as well.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. SHANNON: Thank you.
- 25 THE COURT: Sounds great. Good afternoon. Ms.

- 1 Haselden, good afternoon.
- MS. HASELDEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
- 3 Melissa Haselden and I also have with me Elizabeth Freeman,
- 4 proposed counsel for Subchapter V Trustee.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon. Okay. We have
- 6 covered the courtroom. Let me just open it up. Does anyone
- 7 wish to make an appearance who's on video. Mr. Chapple, I
- 8 see you there. Do you wish to make an appearance?
- 9 MR. CHAPPLE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you for
- 10 recognizing me. Ryan Chapple on behalf of the Connecticut
- 11 plaintiffs.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. Folks the line is completely
- 13 unmuted. I'm going to try to keep it completely unmuted so
- I don't have to -- I can focus on the arguments and -- but
- anyone else wish to make an appearance?
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Yes, Your Honor. Good afternoon.
- 17 This is Ray Battaglia. On behalf of Free Speech Systems.
- 18 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Battaglia. Anyone
- 19 else? Okay. Might be easier for me if we talked about cash
- 20 collateral first and then took up the motions to compel. So
- 21 why don't we start there. I did see that something got
- 22 filed. Read it briefly and I'm still reading it. Maybe you
- 23 can give me the high points.
- MR. MARTIN: I can give you high points, Your
- 25 Honor, and Mr. Battaglia can chime in as well. We are able

- 1 to reach another agreement on interim use of cash
- 2 collateral. The only material changes are the PQPR payment
- 3 provisions from before regarding the clawback, those were
- 4 removed and instead there's a language in there that
- 5 preserves the clawback rights in the previous interim
- 6 orders. To date, out of that \$750,000, \$250,000 has been
- 7 paid. The other \$500,000 has not yet been paid so you have
- 8 one clock kind of ticking on that.
- 9 Obviously, that's reserving all rights relating
- 10 to, you know, broader litigation, but specifically as to
- 11 those payments as to whether they were proper in this course
- 12 of business. That's --
- 13 THE COURT: Okay,
- MR. MARTIN: -- what the clock is ticking as far
- as -- and then there's an updated budget as well and Mr.
- 16 Battaglia can speak to that.
- 17 THE COURT: I did see that you all need a final
- 18 cash collateral hearing as well, right?
- MR. MARTIN: Correct, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Or a time, I should say.
- MR. MARTIN: And we had proposed having that heard
- 22 at the same time as the tort committee hearing.
- THE COURT: Okay. Do you think 10 a.m. would work
- for everyone, if we're going to work through the day on
- 25 that? I don't think there's a lot of hearings that are

- 1 going to go on at the same time there. Would 10 a.m. work
- 2 for October 12th?
- 3 MR. MARTIN: It's okay for the Texas plaintiffs,
- 4 Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Battaglia, would that work
- 6 for you?
- 7 MR. BATTAGLIA: Yes, Your Honor, and I've had
- 8 conversations with Mr. Martin -- this is Ray Battaglia, for
- 9 FSS -- about frankly at the end of the day probably doing
- 10 another interim at that time.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Just -- I think we're fine running
- on that basis, but yes. That'd be fine.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything you wish to
- 15 tell me about --
- 16 MR. BATTAGLIA: And I guess one more thing, Your
- 17 Honor, I should point out is that Ms. Freeman had asked for
- 18 a couple of -- minor modification to the proposed order
- 19 regarding payments to insiders and that the words directly
- or indirectly were inserted, and I don't think that does
- 21 injustice to anyone who had previously signed off on the
- 22 proposed order, but I wanted to at least mention it.
- THE COURT: Okay. I looked at the budget. There
- 24 were two items that I just want somebody to clarify for me.
- One of them says Alex Jones trial cost, what is that, for

- 1 \$80,000?
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Your Honor, Mr. Jones is -- has
- 3 required to appear at trial in Connecticut and he's unable
- 4 to travel, like perhaps your or I can in the sense he does
- 5 require security when he goes somewhere and he doesn't stay
- 6 in a hotel.
- 7 THE COURT: Why is the Debtor paying that?
- MR. BATTAGLIA: I don't think that we're providing
- 9 --
- THE COURT: He's a defendant.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Because --
- 12 THE COURT: Isn't he -- Mr. Battaglia, isn't he a
- defendant in the same lawsuit?
- MR. BATTAGLIA: He is a defendant as well as FSS.
- 15 Yes, Your Honor, and we had provisions in the lift stay
- order that we could include a budget for his travel
- 17 expenses. And I think some of his travel expenses really
- 18 relate to his being there on behalf of FSS.
- 19 THE COURT: I received this 15 minutes ago. We're
- 20 not going to do that. You all can come back and ask for it,
- 21 but we're not paying for travel expenses for co-defendant on
- 22 15 minutes' notice to the Court about that. Never seen that
- 23 before. I'm not aware of it. Somebody wants to come back
- on additional notice, you're more than happy to do so. It's
- not a no, but it's not a today. I need more information on

- 1 that. So I'm just telling -- what are the other witness
- 2 expenses and trial costs? What does that relate to? Does
- 3 that relate to specifically to FSS or something else?
- 4 MR. BATTAGLIA: They are employees of FSS that
- 5 plaintiffs have requested to be present or alternatively
- 6 FSS' counsel has requested to be present.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay.
- 8 MR. BATTAGLIA: At trial.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: And also was included in the lift
- 11 stay order that those expenses would be allowed to be
- 12 reimbursed.
- 13 THE COURT: Relates to the FSS' defense. I think
- 14 lifting the automatic stay makes perfect -- I entered an
- order lifting the stay. You're going to have to provide
- 16 some additional information, if somebody -- provide the
- evidence today, we can take is up. But if you want me to
- 18 sign an order today providing expenses for co-defendant in
- 19 litigation, I'm going to need more than just the parties'
- 20 agreement on that. I think I have an independent duty to
- 21 take a look at this and I don't understand it.
- But that's -- that just means somebody can come
- 23 back and ask for it. It just means that literally this got
- filed 15 minutes before the hearing and I've reviewed it and
- you want me to sign it today. That's what I'm wanting to

- 1 do.
- 2 Mr. Lemmon, let me ask you. You're the -- far as
- 3 I know. It sounds like there's agreement between the tort
- 4 plaintiffs and the Debtors. You're still listed as a
- 5 secured party.
- 6 MR. LEMMON: Yes, Your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Your client is still listed as a
- 8 secured party. I just need to make sure that you're okay
- 9 with this order. It says an agreed cash collateral order.
- 10 MR. LEMMON: Yes, Your Honor. I reviewed the form
- of order and my client has no objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. So I know you got a
- 1:30 and Mr. Battaglia, you can tell me otherwise, but I'm
- 14 willing to sign the order today extending the use of cash
- 15 collateral to October the 12th at a hearing on the budget.
- 16 The rest of the budget looks fine to me. Actually had one
- 17 more question. And there was an increased cost to the
- 18 fulfillment expert. What is that? I did -- or who is that?
- MR. BATTAGLIA: It's Blue Ascension, Your Honor,
- and this was the subject of the modification to the cash
- 21 collateral order. It -- really travels based on estimated
- 22 sales for the period.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: And they're not completely
- 25 connected because of course the sales are presented to you

- and all these expenses on an approval basis, but they don't
- 2 track day-to-day the shipping. They happen a week later or
- 3 several days later, but it's an estimate of what's expected
- 4 to pay for both the shipping and the fulfillment cost that
- 5 has been presented or discussed in front of the Court
- 6 before.
- 7 THE COURT: And that's different than the
- 8 fulfillment services line? There's a line --
- 9 MR. BATTAGLIA: Your Honor, I'm afraid I don't --
- 10 THE COURT: There's a line item for fulfillment
- 11 services.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: I need to --
- 13 THE COURT: There's a line item for fulfillment
- 14 services and then I see one for a fulfillment expert. It
- 15 was there last time. It just went up this time around. I
- 16 just --
- MR. BATTAGLIA: I'm sorry --
- 18 THE COURT: -- need to make sure --
- 19 MR. BATTAGLIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. The
- 20 fulfillment expert is after the hearing in which Blue
- 21 Ascension became something of an issue. Mr. Schwartz, in
- trying to fulfill his obligations and resolve the questions
- that had been presented, has hired someone to come in and
- 24 evaluate the fulfillment operations of Blue Ascension and to
- 25 evaluate the cost structure.

- 1 THE COURT: I remember that.
- 2 MR. BATTAGLIA: And under --
- 3 THE COURT: I remember that.
- 4 MR. BATTAGLIA: So.
- 5 THE COURT: I remember that. Yeah.
- 6 MR. BATTAGLIA: That's what that line item is for.
- 7 THE COURT: Got it. Got it. Yeah, I
- 8 remember that and I do remember Mr. Schwartz talking about
- 9 that at the last interim hearing on August 24. So that
- 10 makes sense to me. I have no additional questions.
- 11 Mr. Nguyen, Ms. Haselden, are you all okay with --
- if I sign this order with the provisions that I've set
- 13 forth?
- MR. NGUYEN: Yes, Your Honor. We have no
- 15 objections to the cash collateral. It was the deal that was
- 16 struck and we were involved. I've taken a look at it.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay.
- 18 MR. NGUYEN: I'm fine with the order. Thank you.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you.
- MS. HASELDEN: Yes, Your Honor. We have no
- 21 objection with the changes that are --
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MS. HASELDEN: -- Ms. Freeman --
- 24 THE COURT: And again, with the other part, people
- 25 -- I just, I don't have any evidence as to why that's

- 1 necessary or how it's -- why FSS should pay for it. I'm
- 2 happy to hear evidence on it, if there is any. If not,
- 3 we're all going to --
- 4 MR. BATTAGLIA: Your Honor --
- 5 THE COURT: We're all going to come back in a
- 6 couple weeks. It's just -- I haven't, I'm not comfortable
- 7 doing it today.
- 8 MR. BATTAGLIA: Your Honor, this is Ray Battaglia
- 9 again. I understand. I'll -- if you would like, I'll
- 10 revise the budget accordingly or with the Court, made their
- 11 --
- 12 THE COURT: No.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: -- certainly says if the budget
- doesn't, so I'll -- whatever the Court --
- 15 THE COURT: I can --
- 16 MR. BATTAGLIA: -- favors and then we'll come back
- 17 and ask the Court for --
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. I can --
- MR. BATTAGLIA: -- those expenses with more
- 20 explanation.
- 21 THE COURT: I can figure it out on my end and I
- think it's just a short line or something, either in the
- 23 order or just striking it --
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Yes, sir.
- 25 THE COURT: -- from the budget, but I can sign it.

- 1 Mr. Lemmon, if you can just confirm you're okay with me
- 2 signing the order as provided.
- 3 MR. LEMMON: Yes, Your Honor. My client has no
- 4 objection.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you. And I've
- 6 heard from the United States Trustee. I've heard from
- 7 Subchapter V Trustee. I will sign that order. Looks like
- 8 sales are still doing really well, which is good for FSS.
- 9 So, okay. I will now turn things over to, guess
- 10 Mr. Moshenberg, you filed the motion. Turn it over to you,
- 11 sir.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: You can move that mic closer to you.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: Sure.
- THE COURT: No problem.
- 16 MR. MOSHENBERG: Can you hear me all right, Your
- 17 Honor?
- 18 THE COURT: Just fine. Thank you.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: Thank you very much and thank you
- for hearing this issue so quickly, Your Honor. So as the
- 21 Court is well aware, this cash collateral issue is something
- 22 the parties are continuing to debate and do discovery on and
- 23 we're preparing for that cash collateral hearing, looks like
- on October 12th.
- One of the issues in that cash collateral motion

- 1 has to do with the nature of the PQPR debt, as the Court
- 2 knows. It's not only the nature of the debt but also how
- 3 that debt was arrived at and the actual amount of the debt
- 4 itself. As the Court knows, we've worked together with Free
- 5 Speech Systems in terms of reaching interim cash collateral
- orders, same thing with PQPR, and that's something we've
- 7 been very proud of, the fact that we've been able to work
- 8 with them when needed.
- 9 And one of the agreements that the parties reached
- 10 and the Court entered an order on was Document 98 which is
- 11 the second cash collateral order. And Paragraph No. 14,
- 12 Your Honor, really codifies the agreement that the Court
- 13 blessed that the parties to do discovery as it relates to
- 14 the cash collateral order and what it says is it provides
- that PQPR as well as FSS, but really we're focused on PQPR
- 16 today, PQPR was supposed to provide documents in response to
- 17 document -- to discovery requests and provide other
- 18 discovery responses by certain date. Then after that date,
- 19 the parties were going to have a deposition of PQPR's
- 20 corporate representative.
- 21 Paragraph 14 of Document 98 also says that if
- there's some sort of dispute relating to the discovery, then
- 23 that the parties can move on an emergency basis. Now, that
- 24 being agreed to, the parties did issue discovery requests
- 25 and the Texas plaintiffs served discovery requests that were

- 1 focused on this targeted issue about the nature of the PQPR
- debt and the amount of the PQPR debt.
- And just so the Court understands, Exhibits 1, 2,
- 4 and 3 to the motion to compel, I've conferred with opposing
- 5 counsel and their finding that admitted. That way Jarrod
- 6 can leave a little sooner without having to get those
- 7 documents in.
- 8 THE COURT: Let's make him stay. Just fine.
- 9 Thank you.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: But Documents 1, 2, and 3 in
- 11 support of that motion or exhibits, rather, it's the
- 12 discovery request, the responses, and then the attempt to
- 13 meet and confer. Now, the cash collateral motion, you know,
- of course the underlying notes, the cash collateral here
- 15 that PQPR has with Free Speech Systems, it's not an ordinary
- 16 note that you would find, for instance, when a bank is
- 17 giving out a secured loan for a promissory note.
- 18 What you have here is you've got promissory notes
- 19 that are basically memorializing 50-some million dollars,
- 20 \$53 million in past obligations owed. So it's not future
- 21 money being paid out under a security arrangement. It's
- 22 supposedly some legal obligation to pay a debt that was
- 23 incurred in the past.
- And so a lot of our discovery requests were
- 25 focused on figuring out what's the nature of that underlying

1 debt that preceded the promissory notes. And that's why we 2 just served those discovery responses that are in Exhibit 1 3 and the responses that are in Exhibit 2. The problem is --4 and this is in Exhibit 2 -- is that the responses PQPR 5 provided list a bunch of boilerplate objections and then it 6 just states what documents PQPR has decided to produce. 7 What we have a problem with their responses is 8 first of all, there's really two issues. The first one is, 9 we don't really know whether responsive documents are being 10 withheld on the basis of an objection. They just provide these boilerplate objections saying we object to this 11 request, here's what we'll produce. It's unclear from the 12 13 responses, are there other responsive documents out there 14 that are responsive to that request that are being withheld. THE COURT: Have the parties conferred? 15 16 MR. MOSHENBERG: We have tried to. We did speak 17 this morning, Your Honor. So we tried to confer for several 18 days, as Exhibit 3 shows to our motion. We didn't hear 19 anything for a long time, Your Honor. Finally, yesterday, 20 after they filed their response, there was a brief 21 communication where they sort of invited us to send another 22 email and explain what we want, and we did that. 23 We sent a long email detailing the documents we 24 want and why we want them, and they responded and they

basically said the effect of well, you don't need to have

25

- 1 these documents. First, take the deposition and then see if
- 2 you need the documents. Obviously, that's not what the
- 3 discovery rules contemplate. If there's responsive
- 4 documents out there and they're discoverable, then we have a
- 5 right to receive them.
- Of course, in practice, you need the documents in
- 7 order to take a meaningful deposition. So legally, I don't
- 8 really understand why that's a reason to not turn over a
- 9 responsive document. Doesn't make any sense in normal
- 10 practice of law, but especially doesn't make sense given
- 11 that the parties already agreed that they were going to turn
- over responsive documents before the deposition, and that's
- what Document 98, Paragraph 14 shows. So I don't really
- 14 understand the basis for that.
- The other issue that they mention is they sort of
- 16 indicate there might be some responsive documents. There
- 17 may not be other ones. They don't exist. If -- and this
- really is a big part of the issue. We don't want responsive
- 19 documents being held on the basis of an objection. If there
- are no responsive documents, they're required under Rule 34
- 21 to say so. We just want to know that there's no documents
- 22 out there that are being withheld. And it seems like for
- 23 some of these discovery requests, that may be the case.
- What they need to do is withdraw their improper
- objections and just say, there's no responsive documents in

```
1
 2
               THE COURT: Do you think some of these requests
 3
     are overly broad?
 4
               MR. MOSHENBERG: I don't, Your Honor. I'm happy
 5
     to handle any specific --
 6
               THE COURT: Let's start.
 7
               MR. MOSHENBERG: Sure.
 8
               THE COURT: We're talking about cash collateral.
 9
               MR. MOSHENBERG: Yes, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Produce all agreements, contracts, and
     -- all agreements between FSS and PQPR. What purpose does
11
12
     that serve with cash collateral --
13
               MR. MOSHENBERG: It's --
14
               THE COURT: -- unrelated to the debt?
               MR. MOSHENBERG: Well -- and so when we --
15
16
               THE COURT: I'll give you a few. Produce all
17
     documents evidencing any agreements between FSS and PQPR.
18
     Right? Regardless if it relates to the debt. Say there's
19
     an agreement -- what do you mean by agreements, right?
20
     That's different from contracts and promissory notes. All
21
     of them. Produce -- let's see. Produce all employee lists
22
     for PQPR from September 1st, 2013 to the present. Why do
23
     you need to know who works at PQPR in 2013 to determine
24
     whether the debt is owed at that amount or not? I'm just
25
     asking. It's the kind of -- all documents and
```

- 1 communications evidencing, so every communication evidencing
- 2 the ownership of PQPR from its inception. You don't find
- 3 that to be a little overly broad?
- 4 MR. MOSHENBERG: No, I --
- 5 THE COURT: Documents just saying, we formed PQPR
- 6 in 2013, so I'm going to have to go back in 30 days' notice
- 7 and file -- and find every email that shows every time that
- 8 they say that they're the owner from 2013 in connection with
- 9 a cash collateral hearing that was schedule to occur today?
- MR. MOSHENBERG: Well, not necessarily, Your
- 11 Honor. But to be clear, we detailed in an email yesterday,
- 12 we talked to them about what we were specifically looking --
- 13 THE COURT: -- not what you said. You said you
- 14 didn't think some of these were overly broad.
- 15 MR. MOSHENBERG: Sure. And let me be clear, and
- 16 we can always -- of course, the rules allow us to narrow it
- 17 to the extent it is discoverable and I want to be --
- 18 THE COURT: Taking you up on your first statement.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: Sure, Your Honor. I don't think
- 20 the way that you read the question, Your Honor, the request
- 21 --
- THE COURT: I read it the way you wrote it.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: Sure. And I want to be clear. I
- 24 didn't perceive it that way. When we as a group circulated
- 25 these drafts, what we're referring to, the draft contracts,

- 1 agreements, however they want to call it, between Free
- 2 Speech Systems and PQPR.
- 3 THE COURT: -- I'm going.
- 4 MR. MOSHENBERG: Sure.
- 5 THE COURT: I think some of these are really
- 6 broad, but it could be narrowed to address what you need and
- 7 then I think Mr. Lemmon is going to have to tell me if these
- 8 -- some of these requests were narrowly tailored towards
- 9 cash collateral and the debt that exists, I understand your
- 10 initial -- his initial response, but maybe he was jammed for
- 11 time. But now we're a couple of weeks out now and we're
- 12 going to go further, even further out. I don't understand.
- 13 I think some of these requests can be narrowly tailored in a
- 14 way to specifically address the issue and I would need to
- understand from PQPR whether they would still have an
- 16 objection to that.
- 17 But I -- you're asking me whether I think an
- 18 organizational chart is appropriate. I do. If you're
- 19 asking me whether they need to identify all facilities and
- offices used by them, I don't know. You'll have to tell me
- 21 whether that's appropriate or not, but I do think that the
- 22 parties could talk and narrowly tailor this in a way that
- really gets to the heart. I do understand the issue.
- There were -- there was a large amount of debt
- 25 that was -- this is different. This is not just a loan

- 1 agreement where money was advanced, a loan agreement was
- 2 signed and then funds were advanced on a loan and there was
- 3 security taken on that. This seems to be a little different
- 4 and you're -- I think you're entitled to get documents that
- 5 are based on that.
- 6 MR. MOSHENBERG: Sure.
- 7 THE COURT: I just want -- I just think things can
- 8 be narrowly tailored in a way that really addresses what
- 9 you're doing that relates to cash collateral, and I'm happy
- 10 to do that, but I think the parties could really sit down
- and see if that could get done, with my guidance.
- I think there's a difference between seeking
- documents for other purposes, and I'm not saying that's what
- 14 you did. I just -- if we're going to limit it to cash
- 15 collateral, which is what's going on, then I think you can
- 16 get the docs and I think there are. And I agree with you,
- 17 if all you are receiving is the underlying loan documents
- 18 and some worksheets, I think Mr. Lemmon is going to have to
- 19 tell me if there's more or admit that there's more or not.
- But I think some of these can be more narrowly
- 21 tailored to address the debt, but that's a simple thing.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: I totally agree, Your Honor, and
- candidly, my normal practice, I usually am able to meet and
- 24 confer and reach some sort of deal. The reason the motion
- was filed is because we just heard nothing for about a week

- 1 and we tried our best and, you know, it turns out Mr. Lemmon
- 2 was busy meeting with Ms. Haselden and trying to promote his
- 3 motion to investigate instead of doing the discovery he
- 4 promised to do under our agreement.
- 5 THE COURT: That's right. The motion to
- 6 investigate is still out there as well.
- 7 MR. MOSHENBERG: Yes, Your Honor. And we totally
- 8 agree. You know, if there's room to narrow it, absolutely.
- 9 We're not looking for every document under the sun, so I
- 10 agree with you and I think we'll be able to work it out.
- 11 But I do want to be clear and I would love some guidance
- 12 from the Court. I mean, our wish list is essentially to
- 13 make sure that if there are no responsive documents that
- 14 they withdraw their objections and say there's no responsive
- documents, assuming that it's been tailored as the Court has
- 16 discussed.
- 17 And then if there are responsive documents that
- 18 are tailored as was discussed, that absolutely produce them.
- 19 And I'm happy to work with Mr. Lemmon on that. I think we
- 20 could probably do that, and if not, we can come back to the
- 21 Court soon if that works.
- THE COURT: Okay. That's perfect. Thank you.
- 23 Let me hear from Mr. Lemmon.
- MR. LEMMON: Judge, I'm chagrined to be here on a
- 25 discovery motion. I don't think I've appeared on a

- 1 contested discovery motion in federal court in 20 years, but
- 2 part of this is on me, and that is that Mr. Martin reached
- 3 out to me on Labor Day by email and I read his briefly. It
- 4 was to me and to Mr. Battaglia and I believe --
- 5 AUTOMATED VOICE: Conference muted.
- 6 MR. LEMMON: -- the first part of it dealt with a
- 7 privilege log, right, which I didn't really find applicable
- 8 and I did not give his email, when I read it on my iPhone
- 9 when I got off the golf course, the -- in the way that I
- 10 should have.
- 11 The follow-up email again came to me and I read it
- on my iPhone the day before the creditors meeting and it was
- 13 Mr. Martin's suggestion we visit after the creditors
- 14 meeting. I completely zoned on those. Just didn't see
- 15 them. So I was surprised when I got the emergency motion.
- 16 I called Mr. Martin because the Court will recall I'm the
- 17 person that offered discovery informally at the time of the
- 18 August -- I think it was -- 3rd hearing. And -- because I
- 19 was going on vacation.
- I wanted everybody to be able to get what we had
- and we have somebody who did the forensic accounting
- 22 relating to the underlying debt and was instrumental in the
- 23 -- knows about the execution of the notes and that's the
- 24 person I've offered and whose work papers I've sent. And
- 25 we've offered him repeatedly for deposition. That

- 2 that the plaintiffs will go forward taking it this time.
- I had talked originally with Mr. Martin and I
- 4 thought we had an agreement that I was going to produce the
- 5 documents related to the existence of the debt which I
- 6 viewed as the key issue related to cash collateral. And we
- 7 will. We will absolutely produce everything related to the
- 8 existence of the debt or reasonably related to the existence
- 9 of the debt, Your Honor, and I don't have a problem with
- 10 that.
- 11 THE COURT: You think that includes negotiations
- 12 surrounding the formulation of the debt?
- MR. LEMMON: I believe that there are no documents
- 14 regarding the negotiations regarding the formation of the
- 15 debt. The way the debt occurred, Your Honor, was that PQPR
- 16 sold to FSS on open account and they kept a ledger, right.
- 17 And so those accounting issue -- entries are all, of course,
- 18 relevant, right. My problem is that -- and when we got the
- 19 document requests while I was on vacation, it demanded a
- 20 response in eight days at that time.
- 21 We weren't able to do that. My problem is that
- 22 that ledger has a lot of other things in it, right, such as
- every purchase my client's ever made. Right, it's my
- 24 client's general ledger and we don't find that to be germane
- 25 to any of the discussions. So what we have is we have a

- 1 compilation done by the forensic accountant of all of those 2 and we can work with everybody to get them what they need 3 regarding the existence of the debt. I don't have a problem 4 with that, Your Honor. 5 Just want to say one other thing, and that is 6 yesterday I called Mr. Martin and I said, what is it that 7 you guys really want. And he said well, we need your 8 spreadsheets in native format and I agreed immediately. I 9 said, they won't be Bates labeled that way, but we'll give 10 them to you. And he said Mr. Moshenberg may want something 11 different. I got an extensive email from him last night. I 12 responded. I called him. Finally reached him this morning. 13 I said, what is it that you really want on an 14 emergency basis. He said, I need you to withdraw every 15 single one of your objections and produce every single 16 document. Judge, we will absolutely work with him. 17 Let me just mention one other thing, Your Honor, 18 that's a concern to us and that is we have asked for a 19 protective order in this case and we've circulated. We 20 think we have agreement on the protective order, but there 21 are parties that are downloading our documents that we sent 22 to them and I don't know who those parties are exactly. 23 And so I've asked for them to tell us who has
- signed off on the protective order but this illustrates one of the problems in this case and that is, you know, this is

- 1 my client's proprietary information and we do not want it
- 2 traveling around the world. So -- and so the protective
- 3 order that everybody has agreed to is just the standard
- 4 Southern District protective order with no changes
- 5 whatsoever. But for that reason, we have concerns about
- 6 production in this case and we do need the signed signature
- 7 pages on the protective order before people are downloading
- 8 our documents.
- 9 So with all that said, Your Honor, we absolutely
- 10 will produce all of the documents relating to the debt. You
- 11 know, we just need this tailored a little bit and we'll get
- 12 them all of that.
- 13 THE COURT: Let me -- what I'm thinking about
- doing, a couple of things and Mr. Lemmon, (indiscernible)
- 15 stand here, I want you to -- give the opportunity to react
- 16 to what I'm saying. I'm going to deny your request for
- 17 emergency consideration to appoint the Subchapter V Trustee.
- 18 I'm going to -- expand the powers of the Subchapter V
- 19 Trustee. I want to take everything up at one time. I want
- 20 to take everything up on the 12th. I want to think about
- 21 everything and I'm going to make a decision about
- 22 everybody's requests at that time.
- That doesn't mean that the Subchapter V Trustee
- can't, if she's doing whatever work she has, I'm not here to
- 25 impede whatever she's doing. I'm just saying I want to take

- 1 up the consideration and sign whatever I'm going to sign
- because what I don't want to do is, quite frankly, limit her
- 3 or limit myself in any way. I want to have the full range
- 4 of options to -- based on evidence that I hear as to what I
- 5 think the Subchapter V may or may not be doing. May want to
- 6 do more. May want to do a little bit less. Just -- I just
- 7 need to hear what the evidence is and I want to take it up
- 8 all at the same time.
- 9 I'm also going to say I don't think I need to rule
- 10 on anything today, but everybody reserves the right to come
- 11 back and tell me. I am asking all of the professionals in
- 12 this case -- I understand the history here. Understand that
- there's a lot of tension between clients but I expect the
- 14 professionals to work together and to do it, so I think I'm
- really reaching out to both sides, asking everyone to really
- 16 consider the rhetoric in the papers and the -- what gets
- 17 presented at the hearing.
- I just am asking all the professionals to really
- 19 talk about what they want in connection with this hearing
- and what you need in connection with cash collateral. And
- 21 if the parties can't work it out, then come back to me but -
- 22 I'll leave it there.
- I think there's a way for you -- comes to
- 24 questions about protective orders, I think that's standard.
- I think professionals should be able to work through that

- 1 really easy. So I hope no one is downloading information
- 2 and taking advantage of information without protection, if
- 3 that's what folks are looking for. At the same, Mr. Lemmon,
- 4 when it comes to POPR, if there are additional documents
- 5 that are responsive, at some point someone's going to have
- 6 to say I do agree with Mr. Moshenberg; here's all I've got
- 7 and I've got nothing else, and I think that representation
- 8 is standard.
- 9 I don't think you need to remove your objection,
- 10 quite frankly, but I do think at some point people need to
- 11 know, you know, this is all you've got so that when they
- show up to a hearing, they know that they've received all
- 13 responsive documents -- responsive nonprivileged documents.
- 14 So -- and I know the professionals here. I'm talking very
- 15 basic standard things here, so I'll leave it there.
- MR. LEMMON: And Judge, just so I'm clear, and we
- 17 will produce everything related to the debt. We would
- 18 produce an org chart. I'm told there is not one. But we
- don't have a problem at all with that and we'll take all
- these things up on the 12th, I suppose.
- 21 THE COURT: That's when I want to take it up. If
- 22 parties have different views on all this, they can certainly
- take it up, but I don't want to take it up. I don't know
- 24 what I'm going to hear on the 12th.
- MR. LEMMON: Sure.

```
1
               THE COURT: And regardless of how I rule on the
 2
     12th, I think the Subchapter V Trustee has to do her job and
 3
     do her job the way she sees fit, so that's not for me to --
 4
               MR. LEMMON: May --
 5
               THE COURT: -- up now.
 6
               MR. LEMMON: May I say one thing, Your Honor?
 7
               THE COURT: Sure.
 8
               MR. LEMMON: And -- the meeting we had yesterday
 9
     with the Subchapter V Trustee and counsel was characterized
10
     as some sort of sales job. Would that I was such a great
     advocate that I could put a sales job over on Ms. Freeman or
11
12
13
               THE COURT: I don't want to get into it.
14
               MR. LEMMON: -- you know, Ms. Haselden and it's --
15
     it was informational. We're happy to cooperate to the
16
     fullest extent with the Sub V Trustee, so.
17
               MR. MOSHENBERG: (indiscernible).
18
               THE COURT: Yeah, absolutely.
               MR. MOSHENBERG: I am confident we're going to be
19
20
     able to work together and figure out the scope of what is
21
     discoverable, but I do want to make sure we're on the same
22
     page about timing, Your Honor. We have a deposition on the
23
     4th. His position has been that you can get the documents
24
     after the deposition. We think we're entitled to them
```

beforehand. That was what was agreed to.

25

```
1 THE COURT: I feel really confident that that's
```

- 2 probably not going to be the case after today.
- 3 MR. MOSHENBERG: That -- I'm sorry.
- 4 THE COURT: That you will receive the documents in
- 5 time to take a deposition.
- 6 MR. MOSHENBERG: That we will?
- 7 THE COURT: Yeah.
- 8 MR. MOSHENBERG: Okay.
- 9 THE COURT: I feel pretty confident about that,
- 10 without me having to get involved.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: I appreciate that, Your Honor,
- 12 and --
- 13 THE COURT: I feel really confident that you're
- 14 not going to get them, like, October 1st, either. You know,
- that you'll get them in due course as quickly as possible on
- 16 a rolling basis.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: I appreciate that.
- 18 THE COURT: That sounds right to me.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: I appreciate that, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: And look, I'm here on 24 hours'
- 21 notice, so if you get nervous, if things are getting tight
- and you don't think things are going the way they are, I can
- 23 -- you know, it's just an email to my case manager, right,
- 24 and we can get on the phone in less than 24 hours we can
- 25 have a hearing, or the same day. So this isn't saying I'm

- 1 continuing everything, right, and maybe the issue become
- 2 moot. Maybe it doesn't. But I'm here and I'm just hoping
- 3 that the professionals can -- I'm asking the professionals
- 4 to get in a room and have a serious meeting about what's
- 5 needed. I think you're probably entitled to a lot of what
- 6 you've asked for but maybe it just needs to be narrowed in a
- 7 way that would make sense in light of the timing in terms of
- 8 where we are, and I think that can be accomplished in fairly
- 9 short order. The documents either exist or they don't. Mr.
- 10 Lemmon either can produce them or not and we'll see where it
- 11 goes.
- MR. MOSHENBERG: That's fair, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: And everybody's rights are reserved.
- 14 Everybody's rights are reserved, U.S. Trustee's rights, any
- other creditors' rights, Subchapter V Trustee's rights,
- 16 Debtor's rights, everybody's rights are reserved on these
- 17 issues. I think we've just got to get to the 12th and then
- 18 see where things go, right, and if this gets -- we'll see
- 19 where things are at that time. But I'm really, really
- 20 asking the professionals to hear what I'm saying and I don't
- 21 think anyone has to agree on anything.
- I do think it makes sense to be civil and work
- 23 through these issues as the professionals, take care and do
- 24 it.
- Is there anything -- I'm going to sign that order,

- 1 cash collateral extension order today. Let me ask the U.S.
- 2 Trustee, since I've got you here. Is there anything -- I
- 3 saw that you filed objections to certain retention
- 4 applications. Two of those individuals aren't here, so I
- 5 don't want to pick a date to take that up. I don't want to
- 6 take it up on the 12th. That seems like a lot --
- 7 MR. NGUYEN: Understood, Your Honor. Mr. Shannon
- 8 --
- 9 THE COURT: -- in one day. Mr. Shannon, I just --
- 10 but maybe you all can get together and we can pick a date
- and just reach out to my case manager at that time. I don't
- need to comment. I haven't read them -- haven't read
- 13 anything.
- MR. NGUYEN: Sure --
- THE COURT: I just say that it got filed, but
- 16 maybe we can pick a date. There's a lot, I think, scheduled
- 17 for the 12th and I think there's -- has to be a day where we
- 18 can do retentions on a separate track.
- MR. SHANNON: Yeah. Your Honor, one thing that --
- 20 there is a status hearing set in this case for September
- 21 20th.
- THE COURT: Yes, that's --
- MR. SHANNON: And I think that's probably about
- 24 the -- you know, it's quick, but still has some time for
- 25 gathering the required information. So Your Honor, we would

- 1 request setting those applications to employ the CRO and
- 2 Shannon and Lee LLP on that date, if possible.
- 3 THE COURT: You want to take it up at that hearing
- 4 on the 20th?
- 5 MR. SHANNON: Yes, on September 20th.
- 6 MR. NGUYEN: Your Honor, I have no problem with
- 7 that. I understand Mr. Shannon doesn't want to put his
- 8 employment at risk. He doesn't want to work longer than,
- 9 you know, Your Honor's --
- THE COURT: No, no, no.
- 11 MR. NGUYEN: I'm certainly -- you know, we'll work
- 12 with the schedule. I told Mr. Shannon this morning,
- 13 whenever he wants to do it, we'll be ready. We'll raise our
- 14 objections and go forward with it.
- 15 THE COURT: Let me just take a look at something.
- Scheduled at one o'clock for the 20th? Is that right?
- 17 MR. SHANNON: I believe so, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Yeah, let's take it up
- 19 at one. Okay. That works for me, September 20th at 1 p.m.
- MR. NGUYEN: And just for clarity, Your Honor, Mr.
- 21 Shannon and I spoke this morning. He requested a little bit
- 22 more clarity on my objection. I use an entity instead of an
- 23 individual. I normally do that to tone down the rhetoric,
- 24 but Mr. Shannon asked me to be more clear in terms of when I
- 25 make the --

- 1 THE COURT: I understand.
- 2 MR. NGUYEN: I'm going to file a corrected motion.
- 3 If he wants me to be clear, I'm happy to do it and I'm not -
- 4 I just want to make sure the record's clear. That
- 5 corrected motion is going to be filed sometime this
- 6 afternoon or by the end of the day.
- 7 And then the second thing is, we talked about some
- 8 limited discovery. It's very limited in terms of the scope
- 9 of the employment -- the scope of the engagement that
- 10 occurred between May 24th through May 31st, and Mr. Lee in
- 11 his declaration, there was about \$21,000 of services. I
- 12 asked Mr. Shannon to explain what those services are and we
- just need some records of that to go forward with twenty --
- other than that, I don't intend at the hearing on the 20th
- it's going to go long.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. No, no. I'll give it as much
- 17 time as we need. It's important, but I appreciate it so
- 18 that -- really appreciate you thinking about that. So can
- 19 we -- do you think, Mr. Schwartz, we can take that up on the
- 20 20th as well?
- MR. SHANNON: I believe Mr. Schwartz will be here
- anyway.
- THE COURT: For the status report?
- MR. SHANNON: For the status report.
- THE COURT: Okay.

- 1 MR. SHANNON: And again, Your Honor, I do believe
- 2 that the U.S. Trustee's primary objection is about the
- 3 disclosures in the InfoW case. That's really the crux of
- 4 the objection and what will need to be investigated, so it's
- 5 not -- I don't think it goes beyond that.
- 6 MR. NGUYEN: That's correct. There was a prior
- 7 nondisclosure that occurred before you. There's going to be
- 8 some legal arguments on how you should address that, but
- 9 that's where we are with the objection.
- 10 THE COURT: I can -- we'll take it up on the 20th
- 11 and if Mr. Schwartz, you can just -- I will assume Mr.
- 12 Schwartz will be here, but if anything changes just reach
- out to Ms. Saldana and let her know, but I will -- we will
- 14 set Schwartz' application as CRO and Shannon and Lee and
- 15 take it up at -- on the 20th.
- 16 Ms. Haselden, anything we need to talk about?
- 17 Anything from your perspective before the 20th?
- MS. HASELDEN: (indiscernible).
- 19 THE COURT: All right. I do note -- and this is a
- 20 hyper technical point and I want to -- well, you're telling
- 21 me. If there's anything you want to talk about, I'll take a
- 22 look at something.
- MS. HASELDEN: Your Honor knows this case is very
- 24 unusual and because of the motions that have been filed by
- 25 both the parties in the case, the Debtor and the plaintiffs,

- 2 first to go ahead and engage counsel. And then, you know,
- 3 Mr. Lemmon had requested that we meet with his client and
- 4 because of the nature and the posture of the case, we went
- 5 ahead and set the meeting. I think, you know, at some point
- 6 we will need a little clarification on exactly what I need
- 7 to do.
- Normally, some of this investigatory work, you
- 9 know, is not necessary, so I guess between now and the 12th,
- 10 I'll try to figure out --
- 11 THE COURT: Yeah, look, I mean, I'm interested to
- 12 know your thoughts as well on -- you know. That's why I
- don't want to -- I don't want to take anything up on a rush.
- I don't know what the evidence is. I don't know what the
- evidence is going to show. May show something, may show
- 16 nothing.
- I think I'd want to know if, you know, as I
- 18 consider expanding the role of the Subchapter V Trustee,
- 19 what you would see that role to be and what you think it
- should be as well, if anything. I don't want to put any
- 21 words or thoughts in your -- in you. I just want to know
- 22 that I -- you're an important part of the Subchapter V
- process and I want to know what you think. Okay?
- MS. HASELDEN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. We're
- learning and the parties are all at this point cooperating

- THE COURT: So Mr. Shannon, the only hyper
- 3 technical point is 1188(c) requires the report get filed on
- 4 the docket before the meeting, 14 days before.
- 5 MR. SHANNON: Yes, Your Honor. I believe you --
- 6 THE COURT: The hearing.
- 7 MR. SHANNON: I believe you filed an order that
- 8 set the date that the --
- 9 THE COURT: I did.
- 10 MR. SHANNON: -- status report needs to be filed.
- 11 THE COURT: I did.
- MR. SHANNON: And I believe it's today, is what
- 13 that order says.
- 14 THE COURT: No. That's what I was trying to --
- MR. SHANNON: I'm sorry. I don't remember the
- docket number, unfortunately.
- 17 THE COURT: Yeah.
- MR. SHANNON: Around 50 or so.
- 19 THE COURT: That makes two of us. Let's see. If
- 20 today's the day, I just want to make sure something gets
- 21 filed so we comply with the code. That's where I was going.
- 22 It wasn't to -- anything other than that. I just want to
- 23 make sure that we're complying with -- that a status report
- gets filed so that we're compliant with the Bankruptcy Code.
- MR. SHANNON: Yes, Your Honor. And I just went,

- what your order said rather than -THE COURT: No, no, you should. You should.
- 3 MR. SHANNON: Okay.
- 4 THE COURT: No, no, no. You absolutely should.
- 5 No, I -- we set them for a reason on that date and I
- 6 remember and I just want to make sure that we're all on the
- 7 same page. Yeah, it's Docket 65, so --
- MR. SHANNON: Yes, Your Honor. I believe
- 9 originally the status report, the idea was to actually have
- 10 it a week after that. Mr. Schwartz will be out of the
- 11 country during that week, so I believe that the date for the
- 12 status conference got pushed back a week and the report did
- move as well.
- THE COURT: No, no, no. I think we're all on the
- 15 same page. Think we're all on the same -- yeah. Today's
- 16 your day.
- 17 MR. SHANNON: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Sounds like you're having some fun
- 19 this afternoon. All right, folks. Anything else we need to
- 20 talk about? All right. Thank you, everyone.
- 21 CLERK: All rise.
- 23 (Proceedings adjourned at 1:49 p.m.)
- 25

24

22

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Sonya M. deslarski Hydl Sonya Ledanski Hyde Veritext Legal Solutions 330 Old Country Road Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 Date: September 20, 2022